10. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Laama in view of Jorgensen as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5.782.163 ("Chang").

Claim 23 depends from claim 1. Applicants respectfully direct the Examiner to the remarks above pertaining to Claim 1 and the combination of Laama and Jorgensen. For at least the reasons provided therein, applicants respectfully request the Examiner withdraw the stated rejection.

As the Applicants have traversed each objection and rejection made by the Examiner, it is respectfully requested that the pending claims be allowed and the present application be passed onto allowance. Applicants believe no fee is due with the filing of this Response. However, if any fee is due, Applicants respectfully request the fees be charged to Deposit Order Account No. 13-0235.

Respectfully submitted,

By Richal D. Getz

Richard D. Getz Registration No. 36,147 Attorney For Applicants

McCORMICK, PAULDING & HUBER LLP CityPlace II, 185 Asylum Street Hartford, CT 06103-3402 (860) 549-5290

